

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,096	04/19/2004	John Moscone	MOS104	1467
. 32047 7	7590 04/14/2005		EXAMINER	
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC			WATSON, ROBERT C	
55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3723	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		519				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/827,096	MOSCONE, JOHN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert C. Watson	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	April 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	7					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.I	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 19-25 is/are pending in the applicat	Claim(s) 19-25 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawr	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-21 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
2)		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/24/05</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/827,096

Art Unit: 3723

Claims 23-25 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claims are supposed to be method claims however, each of claims 23-25 recite no further method steps.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith.

Smith shows a method of removing a staple utilizing a tool having jaws with a first lift surface (Figure 5), a first bearing surface (Figure 5), a converging first medial surface (Figure 3), and a first lateral surface (Figure 3). The method steps utilized by Smith for removing a staple are identical to method steps recited in the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Reynolds.

Reynolds shows a plier tool having removable jaws wherein a pocket extends from an edge of the jaw for receiving the plier handle member.

To provide removable jaws for Smith wherein a pocket extends from an edge of the jaw for receiving the plier handle member would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Reynolds.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to facilitate the replacement of worn jaw members.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Wrigley et al ('943).

Wrigley et al ('943) teaches that the handles of a plier tool may be made from stamped steel.

To make the handles of Smith from stamped steel would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Wrigley et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a tool that is economically manufactured and has enhanced strength.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Wrigley et al ('458).

Wrigley et al ('458) teaches that the jaws of a plier tool may be made from powdered metal.

To make the Smith jaws from powedered metal would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Wrigley

Art Unit: 3723

et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to manufacture the jaws in a simple economical manner.

Claim 22 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/4/05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 703 308-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 703 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

rcw